

**(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)**

**(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau**



A standard linear barcode is located at the bottom of the page, spanning most of the width. It is used for tracking and identification of the journal issue.

**(43) International Publication Date  
5 December 2002 (05.12.2002)**

PCT

**(10) International Publication Number  
WO 02/096899 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification?: C07D 311/80

(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB02/02159

(22) International Filing Date: 9 May 2002 (09.05.2002)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
0112752.1 25 May 2001 (25.05.2001) GB

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): JOHN-  
SON MATTHEY PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY  
[GB/GB]; 2-4 Cockspur Street, Trafalgar Street, London  
SW1Y 5BQ (GB).

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): SILVERBERG, Lee,  
Jonathan [US/US]; 1301 Beaverbrook Drive, Cherry Hill,  
NJ 08034 (US).

(74) Agent: WISHART, Ian, Carmichael; Johnson Matthey  
Technology Centre, Blounts Court, Sonning Common,  
Reading RG4 9NH (GB).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,  
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU,  
CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH,  
GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC,  
LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW,  
MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG,  
SI, SK, SI, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ,  
VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,  
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SI, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW),  
Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM),  
European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR,  
GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent  
(BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR,  
NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:  
— with international search report


For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

**Published:**

— with international search report

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

(54) Title: SYNTHESIS OF CANNABINOID




**(57) Abstract:** The present invention relates to a process for the production of compound (A) comprising reacting compound (B) with compound (C). A further ring closure reaction may be necessary. The invention further relates to certain novel compounds of formula (B).


### SYNTHESIS OF CANNABINOID

The present invention relates to a novel process that can be used to produce (-)- $\Delta^9$ -tetrahydrocannabinol and related cannabinoid compounds. The invention further 5 relates to novel compounds used in the process.

(-)- $\Delta^9$ -Tetrahydrocannabinol ( $\Delta^9$ -THC) is the active ingredient in marijuana. It is used therapeutically as an inhalant or an oral drug for stimulation of appetite among AIDS and cancer chemotherapy patients. Related cannabinoid compounds that show 10 pharmacological activity are also known. In particular, there have been attempts to produce water soluble analogues of  $\Delta^9$ -THC ('The Total Synthesis of Cannabinoids' in The Total Synthesis of Natural Products, Vol 4, John ApSimon, Wiley, 1981, pp 239-243).




15 The chemical synthesis and isolation of  $\Delta^9$ -THC are both challenging.  $\Delta^9$ -THC is a very high boiling, viscous liquid. It is very prone to acid-catalysed isomerization to the thermodynamically more stable  $\Delta^8$  isomer, it is easily oxidized by oxygen to inactive cannabinol, and it is sensitive to light and heat. All of these factors make purification difficult, especially on an industrial scale, and chromatography has 20 generally been used.



Previous syntheses of  $\Delta^9$ -THC have tended to be either lengthy or low-yielding. Most involve coupling of a chiral terpene to a resorcinol derivative. The primary difficulty has been lack of selectivity in the coupling. Acid catalysed couplings have generally led to mixtures of products (Crombie et al, J Chem Soc. Perkin Trans. I 1988 5 1243). Attempts to avoid the selectivity problem by using base-catalysed coupling reactions have involved lengthier syntheses overall (Rickards et al, J. Org. Chem. 1984 49 572). Syntheses not using chiral terpenes have yielded racemic product (Childers et al, J. Org. Chem. 1984 49 5276).


10 In seemingly the best known method (US 5,227,537), Stoss claims that acid-catalysed coupling of (+)-*p*-menth-2-ene-1,8-diol (1) with olivetol (2) can be stopped at the intermediate Friedel-Crafts product (3), and then the intermediate (3) can be isolated and converted in good yield to  $\Delta^9$ -THC using  $ZnBr_2$  (24 hours, refluxing  $CH_2Cl_2$ ). The present inventors have encountered several problems with this scheme.

15 The initial *p*-toluenesulfonic acid catalysed Friedel-Crafts reaction was difficult to stop cleanly at the intermediate (3) under Stoss' conditions and gave mixtures of the intermediate (3) and  $\Delta^9$ -THC, the ring-closed product. Any  $\Delta^9$ -THC formed is likely to isomerize to  $\Delta^8$ -THC under the disclosed conditions. The use of a heavy metal such as  $ZnBr_2$  in the last step of an industrial process is highly undesirable as it may lead to 20 traces of metal in the product, and this is especially undesirable for pharmaceuticals. Stoss' method therefore appears to offer no real advantage in yield or purity of  $\Delta^9$ -THC over a one-pot coupling that goes directly to  $\Delta^9$ -THC. Razdan has published a one-pot method for coupling of (+)-*p*-menth-2-ene-1,8-diol (1) with olivetol (2) to produce  $\Delta^9$ -THC (Razdan et al, Tet. Lett. 1983 24 3129). This also suffers from several problems: 25 it uses nearly 14 equivalents of  $ZnCl_2$  as the acid, and uses six equivalents of olivetol (2). Even under these conditions, the yield is still only 28% from (+)-*p*-menth-2-ene-1,8-diol (1).





Thus there is a need for a short, practical, high-yielding synthesis of  $\Delta^9$ -THC that can be practised on an industrial scale. This is the problem that the present inventors have set out to address.

Accordingly the present invention provides a process for the production of a compound of general formula A:



wherein R<sup>c</sup>, R<sup>d</sup> and R<sup>e</sup> are independently H, alkyl, or substituted alkyl; and R<sup>1</sup> to R<sup>4</sup> are independently H, OH, OR' (R' is alkyl, aryl, substituted alkyl or aryl, silyl, acyl, or phosphonate), alkyl, substituted alkyl, aryl, acyl, halide, amine, nitrate, sulphonate or phosphonate;

comprising reacting compound B with compound C:



wherein R<sup>a</sup> is H, alkyl, aryl, acyl or silyl; R<sup>b</sup> is alkyl, aryl or acyl; R<sup>c</sup>, R<sup>d</sup>, R<sup>e</sup> and R<sup>1</sup> to R<sup>4</sup> are as hereinbefore defined;

and comprising, when necessary, a ring closure reaction.

Preferably the reaction of compound B with compound C is carried out in the presence of an acid catalyst.

A substituted alkyl group may contain substituents such as halide, hydroxyl, 5 amine and thiol. Alkyl groups may be saturated or unsaturated, acyclic or cyclic.


Compound B is similar to the (+)-*p*-menth-2-ene-1,8-diol used in the Stoss method. However, compound B is not a diol, and contains one or more ether or ester groups. R<sup>b</sup> is alkyl, aryl or acyl, and preferably R<sup>a</sup> is independently alkyl, aryl or acyl.

10

In a preferred embodiment, R<sup>b</sup> is acyl, and OR<sup>b</sup> is an ester group. Suitable ester groups include acetate, propionate, butyrate, trimethylacetate, phenylacetate, phenoxyacetate, diphenylacetate, benzoate, *p*-nitrobenzoate, phthalate and succinate.

15

In an especially preferred embodiment both R<sup>a</sup> and R<sup>b</sup> are acyl groups so that compound B is a diester. The two ester groups are suitably chosen independently from acetate, propionate, butyrate, trimethylacetate, phenylacetate, phenoxyacetate, diphenylacetate, benzoate, *p*-nitrobenzoate, phthalate and succinate. An especially preferred compound has OR<sup>a</sup> = OR<sup>b</sup> = diphenylacetate:



20

R<sup>c</sup>, R<sup>d</sup> and R<sup>e</sup> can be varied independently of R<sup>a</sup> and R<sup>b</sup> and will affect the structure of the product, compound A. R<sup>c</sup> is suitably Me or H, preferably Me. R<sup>d</sup> and R<sup>e</sup> are suitably Me or CH<sub>2</sub>OH, preferably Me.

25

Compound C is a phenolic compound and is preferably a resorcinol derivative such as olivetol (3).

$R^1$  is preferably  $OR''$  wherein  $R''$  is H, alkyl, substituted alkyl, acyl or silyl.  
5 Most preferably  $R^1$  is OH.


Preferably,  $R^2$  and  $R^4$  are H.

$R^3$  is suitably an alkyl group or substituted alkyl group. In a preferred 10 embodiment,  $R^3$  is  $C_5H_{11}$ .  $R^3$  may contain groups that promote water solubility, eg ketone, ester, hydroxyl or amine groups. In one embodiment of the invention,  $R^3$  contains a thioketal (this can be further converted to an aldehyde).

Most preferably, compound C is olivetol (3), wherein  $R^1$  is OH,  $R^2$  is H,  $R^3$  is 15  $C_5H_{11}$  and  $R^4$  is H.

Suitably, one equivalent of compound B is reacted with approximately one equivalent of compound C.

20 In a preferred embodiment of the invention compound B is an ether or ester of (+)-*p*-menth-2-ene-1,8-diol ( $R^c$  = Me,  $R^d$  = Me,  $R^e$  = Me), compound C is olivetol ( $R^1$  = OH,  $R^2$  = H,  $R^3$  =  $C_5H_{11}$ ,  $R^4$  = H) and the product, compound A, is  $\Delta^9$ -THC.




The present invention therefore provides a novel synthesis of  $\Delta^9$ -THC.

The present invention provides both a one-step and a two-step process for the production of compound A. In the one-step process the reaction of compound B and compound C produces compound A directly. In the one-step process, suitably about

one equivalent of acid catalyst is used, eg between 0.8 to 1.5 equivalents. Preferably the reaction is carried out below 0°C, most preferably from -20°C to 0°C.

In the two-step process the reaction of compound B and compound C produces 5 a ring-opened product, compound D:



For the two-step process, suitably less than one equivalent of acid is used, preferably from 0.1 to 0.5 equivalents. Preferably the reaction is carried out below 0°C, more 10 preferably below -10°C. A ring closure step is then carried out. Suitable reagents for the ring closure step include acids such as  $\text{BF}_3\text{(OEt)}_2$  or  $\text{TsOH}$ . One possible advantage of the two-step process is that if compound D is a crystalline solid, purification of the intermediate is straightforward and this may lead to higher purity in the final product, compound A.

15

The present invention provides one-step and two-step syntheses that can be used to produce  $\Delta^9\text{-THC}$ . The syntheses show improved selectivity and yield compared to prior art methods. The amount of isomers generated is small and purification is simple. The phenolic reactant (compound C) is not used in excess. The process is suitable for 20 scale-up to an industrial process.

Preferably the yield of the synthesis of  $\Delta^9\text{-THC}$  is greater than 50%, more preferably the yield is greater than 75%. The process also provides high purity  $\Delta^9\text{-THC}$ . Preferably  $\Delta^9\text{-THC}$  is obtained in greater than 70% purity, more preferably 25 greater than 90% purity. Methods known in the art can be used to further purify the products of the reaction.

The process of the present invention is suitably carried out in a polar aprotic solvent, preferably methylene chloride.


Suitable acid catalysts include most Lewis acids. Non-metallic catalysts such as 5  $\text{BF}_3\text{OEt}_2$  and toluenesulfonic acid are preferred. Non-metallic catalysts offer advantages over the zinc catalysts used in the Stoss and Razdan methods because there is no possibility of a metal residue in the product.  $\text{BF}_3\text{OEt}_2$  is preferred because it is easily removed from the reaction mixture, and is less prone to causing isomerisation of  $\Delta^9\text{-THC}$  to  $\Delta^8\text{-THC}$  than  $p\text{-TsOH}$ . Suitably about one equivalent of catalyst or less is 10 used, eg 0.1 to 1.5 equivalents. This offers a clear improvement over Razdan's method where 14 equivalents of acid are used.

Procedures for isolating the product, compound A, from the reaction mixture are well known to those in the art. Chromatography can be used to purify the product.


15

Certain compounds of structure B are novel and are particularly advantageous when used in the present invention. Compounds wherein both  $\text{OR}^a$  and  $\text{OR}^b$  are chosen independently from acetate, propionate, butyrate, trimethylacetate, phenylacetate, phenoxyacetate, diphenylacetate, benzoate, *p*-nitrobenzoate, phthalate and succinate 20 (provided that only one of  $\text{OR}^a$  and  $\text{OR}^b$  is acetate) represent a further aspect of this invention. Preferably the groups are chosen so that compound B is a solid. Preferably both  $\text{OR}^a$  and  $\text{OR}^b$  are diphenylacetate. Preferably,  $\text{R}^c$ ,  $\text{R}^d$  and  $\text{R}^e$  are Me.


Compound B can be produced by a variety of methods. Compounds wherein  $\text{R}^a$  25 = H or silyl can be prepared by the ring-opening of epoxides (5) with an alcohol, a carboxylic acid or silylated derivatives of alcohols and carboxylic acids. Reactions of this type are described in a co-pending patent application by the present inventors.



Compounds wherein R<sup>a</sup> and R<sup>b</sup> are both the same can be produced by base catalysed reaction of the corresponding diol (6) with anhydrides or chlorides.



5 Compounds wherein R<sup>a</sup> is not H or silyl and wherein R<sup>a</sup> and R<sup>b</sup> are different can be produced by base-catalysed reaction of mono-ethers or mono-esters (7) with ethers or chlorides.




10 The following examples are illustrative but not limiting of the invention.

#### General Experimental Details

Anhydrous solvents were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company (Milwaukee, WI, USA). Samples of  $\Delta^9$ -THC and  $\Delta^8$ -THC were obtained from 15 RBI/Sigma (Natick, MA, USA). (+)-*p*-Menth-2-ene-1,8-diol was prepared as described in a co-pending patent application by the present inventors. TLC plates (silica gel GF, 250micron, 10 x 20cm) were purchased from Analtech (Newark, DE, USA). TLCs were visualized under short wave UV, and then by spraying with ceric ammonium nitrate/sulfuric acid and heating. Column chromatography was carried out using TLC 20 grade silica gel purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company. NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker 300 MHz instrument. HPLC area percentages reported here are not corrected. HPLCs were run on Shimadzu LC-10AD.

EXAMPLE 1a:One-step reaction of bis(diphenylacetate) compound (4) with olivetol (3) to produce  $\Delta^9$ -THCPreparation of bis(diphenylacetate) compound (4)

5



A 25ml three-necked roundbottom flask with a stir bar was oven-dried, fitted with septa, and cooled under  $N_2$ . Pyridine (12ml) was added and the pale yellow solution was stirred. Diphenylacetyl chloride (5.69g, 4.2eq.) was added. The solution turned brown. N,N-dimethylaminopyridine (0.1435g, 0.2eq.) was added. The mixture was stirred for 1 hour. (+)-*p*-Menth-2-ene-1,8-diol (1.00g) was added. The mixture became a lighter colour and solids precipitated. The slurry was allowed to stir overnight at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with water. The mixture was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The organics were combined and washed with 2M HCl, saturated  $NaHCO_3$ , and saturated  $NaCl$  (aq.), dried over  $Na_2SO_4$ , filtered and concentrated *in vacuo* to orange oil. The oil was dissolved in hot methanol and cooled to crystallize. The white solid was collected and washed twice with cold methanol. After drying under vacuum, the yield was 3.282g (76.8% yield).  $^1H$  NMR ( $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  (ppm) 7.4-7.2 (m, 20H), 5.89-5.84 (dd, 1H), 5.51-5.47 (dd, 1H), 4.90 (s, 2H), 2.7-2.6 (m, 1H), 2.0-1.9 (m, 2H), 1.7-1.6 (m, 1H), 1.43 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.40 (s, 3H), 1.35-1.2 (m, 1H).  $^{13}C$  NMR:  $\delta$  (ppm) 171.47, 171.44, 139.06, 138.84, 132.38, 128.64, 128.56, 128.51, 128.46, 128.28, 127.11, 127.07, 127.02, 85.12, 80.91, 58.32, 57.86, 44.22, 33.81, 25.41, 23.32, 22.81, 21.41. M.p. 111°C. Elemental Analysis: 81.66% C, 6.59% H.  $R_f$  (20% EtOAc/hexane): 0.54.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +61.5^\circ$  ( $c=1.00, CHCl_3$ ). IR (KBr,  $cm^{-1}$ ): 3061, 3028, 1720.5 (carbonyl stretch).

One-step reaction

A 25ml roundbottom flask with a stir bar was oven-dried, fitted with septa, and cooled under N<sub>2</sub>. The bis(diphenylacetate) (4) (279mg, 0.499mmol) and olivetol (90mg) were added. Anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (8ml) was added and stirred. The solution was cooled to -5°C internal temperature. BF<sub>3</sub>(OEt)<sub>2</sub> (64μl, 1.0 eq.) was added. The solution gradually darkened to orange. After 30 minutes, the reaction was quenched with 10% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (10ml). The layers were separated and the organic layer was washed with 2 x 5ml 10% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The aqueous washes were combined and extracted twice with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The organics were combined and washed with water and saturated NaCl solution, then dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo* to light yellow oil. The oil was chromatographed on 5g TLC mesh silica to yield 135.2mg (86.1%) of Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC. NMR did show a small amount of solvent present. HPLC showed 96.6 area percent Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC. <sup>1</sup>H NMR agreed with published reports and commercial samples. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm) 154.81, 154.16, 142.82, 134.41, 123.74, 110.11, 107.54, 77.18, 45.83, 35.47, 33.58, 31.52, 31.17, 30.63, 27.58, 25.03, 23.34, 22.53, 19.28, 13.99. HPLC R.T.: 28.34min. R<sub>f</sub> (10% MTBE/hexane): 0.30. [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = -174.2° (c=1.16, EtOH).

EXAMPLE 1b:

20 Reaction of bis(diphenylacetate) (4) compound with olivetol to produce ring-open intermediate

Bis(diphenylacetate) (4) was prepared as for example 1a.


A 25ml 2-neck roundbottom flask with a stir bar was oven-dried, fitted with 25 septa, and cooled under N<sub>2</sub>. Bis(diphenylacetate) (4) (279mg, 0.499mmol) and olivetol (90mg) were added. Anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (8ml) was added. The solution was stirred to dissolve the solids and then cooled to -20°C internal temperature. BF<sub>3</sub>(OEt)<sub>2</sub> (16μl, 0.25eq.) was added. The solution was stirred for 12 minutes and then quenched with 10% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (aq.) (6ml). The mixture was extracted twice with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The 30 combined organics were washed with water and saturated NaCl, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo* to oil. Chromatography on 10g TLC mesh silica gel (2% MTBE/hexane - 15%) yielded Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC (fractions 16-22, 31.4 mg, 20.0% yield),

but the predominant product was the diphenylacetate triol (the ring open product corresponding to compound D) (fr. 24-37, 160 mg, 60.7% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) 7.26-71.8 (m, 10H), 6.26 (br s, 1H), 6.04 (br s, 1H), 5.35 (s, 1H), 4.51 (s, 1H), 3.92 (br d, 1H), 2.43-2.36 (m, 3H), 2.1-1.9 (m, 2H), 1.79 (m, 1H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 1.6-1.4 (m, 2H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.3-1.2 (m, 4H), 0.85 (t, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  ppm 171.56, 142.87, 139.24, 139.08, 128.64, 128.36, 128.31, 126.92, 126.89, 124.93, 115.43, 87.27, 57.53, 45.94, 35.43, 33.46, 31.51, 30.60, 29.96, 24.04, 23.34, 23.20, 23.17, 22.48, 13.97. R<sub>f</sub> (20% EtOAc/hexane): 0.48. [a]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = -45.9° (c=1.298, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Elemental Analysis: 78.69% C, 8.93% H.

10

**EXAMPLE 2a:**

**One-step reaction of monoacetate compound (8) with olivetol to produce  $\Delta^9$ -THC**



15


A 25ml roundbottom flask with a stir bar was oven-dried, fitted with septa, and cooled under N<sub>2</sub>. The monoacetate (8) (109mg) and olivetol (92.5mg) were added. Anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (8ml) was added and stirred. The solution was cooled to -5°C internal temperature. BF<sub>3</sub>·(OEt)<sub>2</sub> (65μl, 1.0eq.) was added. The solution gradually darkened to orange. After 24 minutes, the reaction was quenched with 10% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The organics were combined and washed with water and saturated NaCl solution, then dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo* to oil. HPLC showed 64.0 area percent  $\Delta^9$ -THC. The oil was chromatographed on 20g TLC mesh silica to yield 25 58.7mg (36.3%) of  $\Delta^9$ -THC. <sup>1</sup>H NMR agreed with published reports and commercial samples.

**EXAMPLE 2b:****Reaction of monoacetate compound (8) with olivetol to produce ring-open intermediate**

A 25ml 2-neck roundbottom flask with a stir bar was oven-dried, fitted with 5 septa, and cooled under N<sub>2</sub>. The monoacetate (8) (109mg, 0.514mmol) and olivetol (92.5mg) were added. Anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (8ml) was added. The solution was stirred to dissolve the solids and then cooled to -20°C internal temperature. BF<sub>3</sub>(OEt)<sub>2</sub> (16μl, 0.25eq.) was added. The solution was stirred for 45 minutes and then quenched with 10% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (aq.) (4ml). The mixture was extracted twice with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The combined organics were washed with water, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo* to a colourless oil. Chromatography on silica gel yielded 90.5mg (47.0% yield) of acetyl triol (the ring open product corresponding to compound D). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm) 6.22 (br m, 2H,), 5.76 (br s, 2H), 5.36 (s, 1H), 4.00 (br d, 1H), 2.67 (dt, 1H), 2.40 (t, 2H), 2.26-2.16 (m, 1H), 2.07-1.90 (m, 2H), 1.73 (s, 3H), 1.51 (s, 3H), 1.49 (s, 3H), 1.42 (s, 3H), 1.32-1.24 (m, 4H), 0.85 (t, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ (ppm) 170.83, 142.69, 138.03, 124.99, 115.42, 85.90, 44.29, 35.38, 33.47, 31.49, 30.66, 30.09, 25.16, 24.65, 23.17, 22.57, 22.43, 21.84, 13.95. R<sub>f</sub> (20% EtOAc/hexane): 0.37.

**EXAMPLE 3a:****20 One-step reaction of monomethoxy compound (9) with olivetol to produce Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC**

A 25ml roundbottom flask with a stir bar was oven-dried, fitted with septa, and




cooled under N<sub>2</sub>. The monomethoxy compound (9) (91.9mg) and olivetol (90mg) were added. Anhydrous CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (8ml) was added and stirred. The solution was cooled to -25 5°C internal temperature. BF<sub>3</sub>(OEt)<sub>2</sub> (16μl, 0.25eq.) was added. After 1 hour another 16μl was added. Two hours later, another 32μl was added. The solution gradually darkened to orange. TLC showed a mixture of Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC and the ring open product, and

major spots. The reaction was quenched with 10% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The layers were separated and the organic was washed with water and sat. NaCl, then dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo* to oil.

5

**EXAMPLE 3b:****Reaction of monomethoxy compound with olivetol to produce ring-open intermediate**

10 A 5ml roundbottom flask with a stir bar was oven-dried, fitted with a septum, and cooled under N<sub>2</sub>. The monomethoxy compound (9) (33.5mg) in 1.5ml of anhydrous methylene chloride was added. Olivetol (32.7mg) and magnesium sulfate (134mg) were added. *p*-Toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (34.6mg) was added. The slurry was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes. Solid NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (100 mg) was 15 added and stirred. The solids were removed by filtration. The solution was washed once with 5% NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (aq.). The aqueous wash was extracted once with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The organics were combined, washed with water, and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solution was concentrated *in vacuo* and chromatographed on silica gel. Colourless oil of the methoxy triol (the ring open product corresponding to compound D) (35.3 mg, 56.0% 20 yield) was obtained. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) 7.90 (br s, 1H), 6.68 (br s, 1H), 6.33-6.21 (br d, 2H) 5.75 (s, 1H), 3.74 (s, 1H), 3.20 (s, 3H), 2.44 (t, 2H), 2.07 (br s, 2H), 2.00-1.77 (m, 3H), 1.80 (s, 3H), 1.54 (m, 2H), 1.31 (m, 3H), 1.14 (s, 3H), 1.13 (s, 3H), 0.87 (t, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  (ppm) 186.50, 169.63, 166.85, 143.41, 140.11, 123.58, 79.32, 48.63, 48.05, 35.51, 32.62, 31.52, 30.63, 27.76, 23.74, 23.01, 22.53, 25 21.95, 20.39, 13.99. Elemental Analysis: 73.3% C, 8.80% H. R<sub>f</sub> (10% EtOAc/hexane): 0.25. [a]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = -22.7° (c=0.088, CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

EXAMPLE 4:One-step reaction of diacetate (10) with olivetol to produce  $\Delta^9$ -THCPreparation of diacetate (10)

5 A 100ml three-necked roundbottom flask with a stir bar was oven-dried, fitted with septa, and cooled under  $N_2$ . (+)-*p*-menth-2-ene-1,8-diol (10.00g) was added. Triethylamine (68.7ml, 8.4eq.) was added and the slurry was stirred. N,N-dimethylaminopyridine (1.435g, 0.2eq.) was added. Acetic anhydride (23.3ml) was placed in an addition funnel and added slowly over 15 minutes. The yellow solution 10 became homogeneous. The solution was warmed to 35°C internal temperature and stirred for 2.5 hours, then raised to 40°C for another three hours, then allowed to stir for 13 hours at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with water while cooling in ice. The mixture was extracted three times with hexane and once with ethyl acetate. The organics were combined and washed with saturated NaCl (aq.), dried over  $Na_2SO_4$ , 15 filtered and concentrated *in vacuo* to an orange oil. Chromatography on 50g TLC mesh silica yielded the diacetate (10) as a colourless oil (12.3g, 82.3%). The oil was cooled in dry ice to freeze the oil and then the solid was broken up with a spatula. It was allowed to warm to room temperature and it remained a white solid.  $^1H$  NMR ( $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  (ppm): 5.84 (dd, 1H), 5.54 (dd, 1H), 2.70 (m, 1H), 2.05-1.8 (m, 3H), 1.85 (s, 6H), 1.68 (m, 1H), 1.40 (s, 3H), 1.30 (s, 3H), 1.29 (s, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR ( $CDCl_3$ ):  $\delta$  (ppm) 20 169.95, 169.89, 132.40, 127.88, 83.79, 79.73, 43.62, 33.85, 25.26, 23.10, 22.74, 22.05, 21.49. m.p. 28-31°C. Elemental Analysis: 65.26% C, 8.61% H.  $R_f$  (20% EtOAc/hexane): 0.52.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +73.5^\circ$  ( $c=0.99, CHCl_3$ ).

25

One-step Reaction

A 25ml roundbottom flask with a stir bar was oven-dried, fitted with septa, and cooled under  $N_2$ . The diacetate (10) (126.9mg, 0.499mmol) and olivetol (90mg, 0.499mmol) were added. Anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  (8ml) was added and stirred.


The solution was cooled to  $-5^{\circ}\text{C}$  internal temperature.  $\text{BF}_3\cdot(\text{OEt})_2$  (64 $\mu\text{l}$ , 1.0eq.) was added. The solution gradually darkened to red. After 15 minutes, the reaction was quenched with 10%  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ . The layers were separated and the organic layer was washed with 10%  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ . The combined aqueous were extracted once with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ .  
 5 The organics were combined and washed with water and saturated  $\text{NaCl}$  solution, then dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo* to a tannish oil (0.132mg). HPLC showed 88.8 area percent  $\Delta^9\text{-THC}$ . Chromatography on silica gel yielded 95.9mg (61.0% yield) of  $\Delta^9\text{-THC}$ . HPLC showed 94.9 area percent  $\Delta^9\text{-THC}$ .

10

**EXAMPLE 5:**

**One-step reaction of dibenzoate (11) with olivetol to produce  $\Delta^9\text{-THC}$**

**Preparation of dibenzoate (11)**



15 A 25ml three-necked roundbottom flask with a stir bar was oven-dried, fitted with septa, and cooled under  $\text{N}_2$ . (+)-*p*-Menth-2-ene-1,8-diol (1.00g) was added. Pyridine (6ml, 12.6eq.) was added and the pale yellow solution was stirred. *N,N*-dimethylaminopyridine (0.1435g, 0.2eq.) was added. Benzoyl chloride (2.73ml, 4eq.) was added. After 10 minutes, a solid precipitated. The slurry was allowed to stir  
 20 overnight at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with water. The mixture was extracted three times with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . The organics were combined and washed with water and saturated  $\text{NaCl}$  (aq.), dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The oil was chromatographed on 25g TLC mesh silica to yield a colourless oil. The oil was cooled in dry ice and froze, but melted on warming to room temperature.  $^1\text{H}$   
 25 NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 8.0 (dt, 4H), 7.51 (m, 2H), 7.40 (dt, 4H), 6.16 (dd, 1H), 5.88 (dd, 1H), 3.00 (m, 1H), 2.29 (m, 2H), 2.02 (m, 1H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 1.62 (s, 3H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.25 (m, 1H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 165.53, 132.80, 132.53, 132.50, 131.77, 131.63, 129.40, 129.36, 128.39, 128.22, 128.16, 80.64, 44.55, 34.09, 25.81,

23.50, 23.10, 22.59, 21.99, 14.14, 14.05. Elemental Analysis: 76.21% C, 6.97% H.  $R_f$  (20% EtOAc/hexane): 0.57.


**One-step Reaction**

5 A 25ml roundbottom flask with a stir bar was oven-dried, fitted with septa, and cooled under  $N_2$ . The dibenzoate (11) (189mg, 0.499mmol) and olivetol (90mg) were added. Anhydrous  $CH_2Cl_2$  (8ml) was added and stirred. The solution was cooled to  $-5^{\circ}C$  internal temperature.  $BF_3.(OEt)_2$  (64 $\mu$ l, 1.0eq.) was added. The solution gradually darkened to red. After 15 minutes, the reaction was quenched with 10%  $Na_2CO_3$ . The 10 layers were separated and the organic layer was washed with water and saturated  $NaCl$  solution, then dried over  $Na_2SO_4$ , filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo* to oil. HPLC showed 78.8 area percent  $\Delta^9$ -THC.

**EXAMPLE 6:**

15 **One-step reaction of di-*p*-nitrobenzoate (12) with olivetol to produce  $\Delta^9$ -THC**

**Preparation of di-*p*-nitrobenzoate (12)**



A 25ml three-necked roundbottom flask with a stir bar was oven-dried, fitted with septa, and cooled under  $N_2$ . (+)-*p*-Menth-2-ene-1,8-diol (1.00g) was added. 20 Pyridine (6ml, 12.6eq.) was added and the pale yellow solution was stirred. *N,N*-dimethylaminopyridine (0.1435g, 0.2eq.) was added. *p*-Nitrobenzoyl chloride (4.58ml, 4.2eq.) was added. After a few minutes, tan solid precipitated. More pyridine (12ml) was added. The slurry was allowed to stir overnight at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with water. The mixture was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. 25 The organics were combined and washed twice with saturated  $NaCl$  (aq.), dried over  $Na_2SO_4$ , filtered and concentrated *in vacuo* to light yellow solid. The solid was


recrystallized from isopropyl alcohol and dried under vacuum. The yield was 3.303g (120% yield), which clearly still contained pyridine and isopropyl alcohol by NMR. It was dried more and then recrystallized from ethyl acetate/hexane to give a lightly coloured solid (1.89 g, 68.7%).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{d}_6$ -acetone) still seemed to have too many aryl protons.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 8.3-8.2 (m, 4H), 8.2-8.1 (m, 4H), 6.14 (dd, 1H), 5.88 (d, 1H), 3.04 (m, 1H), 2.29 (m, 2H), 2.00 (m, 1H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 1.62 (s, 3H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.67-1.65 (m, 2H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2$ )  $\delta$  (ppm): 164.275, 164.244, 151.00, 133.00, 131.46, 131.09, 131.04, 129.29, 124.00, 123.96, 87.04, 82.75, 45.00, 34.55, 26.10. m.p >200°C (decomposition). Elemental Analysis: 59.68% C, 4.71% H, 6.07% N.  $R_f$  (20% EtOAc/hexane): 0.41.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +38.0^\circ$  (c=0.21,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ).

#### One-step Reaction

A 10ml roundbottom flask with a stir bar was oven-dried, fitted with septa, and 15 cooled under  $\text{N}_2$ . The di-*p*-nitrobenzoate (12) (116.5mg) and olivetol (45mg) were added. Anhydrous  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (4ml) was added and stirred. The solution was cooled to  $-5^\circ\text{C}$  internal temperature.  $\text{BF}_3\cdot(\text{OEt})_2$  (32 $\mu\text{l}$ , 1.0eq.) was added. The cloudy solution gradually darkened to orange. After 2 hours, the reaction was quenched with 10%  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ . The layers were separated and the organic layer was washed with water and 20 sat.  $\text{NaCl}$ , then dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo* to yellow oil. HPLC showed 71.5 area percent  $\Delta^9\text{-THC}$ .

## **CLAIMS**


1. Accordingly the present invention provides a process for the production of a compound of general formula A:



5

wherein  $R^c$ ,  $R^d$  and  $R^e$  are independently H, alkyl, or substituted alkyl; and  $R^1$  to  $R^4$  are independently H, OH, OR' (R' is alkyl, aryl, substituted alkyl or aryl, silyl, acyl, or phosphonate), alkyl, substituted alkyl, aryl, acyl, halide, amine, nitrate, sulphonate or phosphonate;

10 comprising reacting compound B with compound C:



wherein  $R^a$  is H, alkyl, aryl, acyl or silyl;  $R^b$  is alkyl, aryl or acyl;  $R^c$ ,  $R^d$ ,  $R^e$  and  $R^f$  to  $R^4$  are as hereinbefore defined; and comprising, when necessary, a ring closure reaction.

15

2. A process according to claim 1, wherein R<sup>a</sup> is alkyl, aryl or acyl.
3. A process according to claim 1 or claim 2, wherein R<sup>b</sup> is an acyl group.

4. A process according to claim 3, wherein OR<sup>b</sup> is an ester group selected from acetate, propionate, butyrate, trimethylacetate, phenylacetate, phenoxyacetate, diphenylacetate, benzoate, p-nitrobenzoate, phthalate or succinate.

5 5. A process according to claim 1, wherein both R<sup>a</sup> and R<sup>b</sup> are acyl groups.

6. A process according to claim 5, wherein OR<sup>a</sup> and OR<sup>b</sup> are ester groups independently selected from acetate, propionate, butyrate, trimethylacetate, phenylacetate, phenoxyacetate, diphenylacetate, benzoate, p-nitrobenzoate, phthalate or 10 succinate.

7. A process according to claim 6, wherein OR<sup>a</sup> and OR<sup>b</sup> are diphenylacetate.

8. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein R<sup>c</sup>, R<sup>d</sup> and R<sup>e</sup> 15 are methyl.

9. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein R<sup>1</sup> is OR" wherein R" is H, alkyl, substituted alkyl, acyl or silyl.

20 10. A process according to claim 9, wherein R<sup>1</sup> is OH.

11. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein R<sup>2</sup> and R<sup>4</sup> are H.

25 12. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein R<sup>3</sup> is C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>11</sub>.

13. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein compound A is Δ<sup>9</sup>-THC, compound B is an ether or ester of (+)-*p*-menth-2-ene-1,8-diol and compound C is olivetol.

30


14. A process according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the reaction of compound B with compound C is carried out in the presence of an acid catalyst.

15. A process according to claim 14, wherein the acid catalyst is nonmetallic.

16. A process according to claim 14 or claim 15, wherein 0.1-1.5 equivalents of acid are used.

5

17. A compound, represented by structure B,



wherein OR<sup>a</sup> and OR<sup>b</sup> are independently chosen from acetate, propionate, butyrate, trimethylacetate, phenylacetate, phenoxyacetate, diphenylacetate, benzoate, *p*-nitrobenzoate, phthalate and succinate (provided that only one of OR<sup>a</sup> and OR<sup>b</sup> is acetate), and R<sup>c</sup>, R<sup>d</sup> and R<sup>e</sup> are independently H, alkyl, or substituted alkyl.

18. A compound according to claim 17, wherein OR<sup>a</sup> and OR<sup>b</sup> are diphenylacetate.

15

20

25

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/GB 02/02159

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 7 C07D311/80

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 7 C07D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

CHEM ABS Data

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category * | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages  | Relevant to claim No. |
|------------|---|-----------------------|
| A          | EP 0 494 665 A (STOSS)<br>15 July 1992 (1992-07-15)<br>cited in the application<br>page 1 -page 5<br>-----  | 1,9-13                |
| A          | T.KAMETANI: "STEREOSELECTIVE CYCLISATION"<br>JOURNAL OF THE CHEMICAL SOCIETY, PERKIN<br>TRANSACTIONS 1.,<br>vol. 3, 1981, pages 756-760, XP002210936<br>CHEMICAL SOCIETY. LETCHWORTH., GB<br>ISSN: 0300-922X<br>page 756<br>----- | 17                    |

 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents:

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the International filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the International filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the International filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- \*8\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the International search

26 August 2002

Date of mailing of the international search report

09/09/2002

Name and mailing address of the ISA  
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Francois, J

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/GB 02/02159

| Patent document cited in search report | Publication date | Patent family member(s) |             | Publication date |
|--|------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| EP 494665                              | A 15-07-1992     | DE                      | 4100441 A1  | 16-07-1992       |
|  |                  | AT                      | 121066 T    | 15-04-1995       |
|  |                  | DE                      | 69201959 D1 | 18-05-1995       |
|  |                  | DE                      | 69201959 T2 | 24-08-1995       |
|  |                  | DK                      | 494665 T3   | 28-08-1995       |
|  |                  | EP                      | 0494665 A1  | 15-07-1992       |
|  |                  | ES                      | 2071351 T3  | 16-06-1995       |
|  |                  | JP                      | 2637659 B2  | 06-08-1997       |
|  |                  | JP                      | 6340650 A   | 13-12-1994       |
|  |                  | US                      | 5227537 A   | 13-07-1993       |